

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
CENTRAL DIVISION**

GREGORY YOUNG, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WELLS FARGO & CO., and
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:08-cv-00507-RP-CFB

Judge: Hon. Robert W. Pratt

**DECLARATION OF DARYL F. SCOTT FILED ON BEHALF OF
SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**

I, DARYL F. SCOTT, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm of Scott+Scott, Attorneys at Law, LLP and I submit this declaration in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees and for the reimbursement of expenses in the above-captioned litigation (the "Action").

2. The statements and information in this declaration are based on information collected from the firm's books and records, and from information provided to me from employees working under my supervision and control.

3. In this Action, Scott+Scott is Co-Lead Counsel of record for the Plaintiffs and the Class. With other Class Counsel, Scott+Scott (i) has been actively involved in all phases of discovery in this action including obtaining and reviewing documents and data produced by Defendants and third parties and taking depositions; (ii) played a leading role in drafting Plaintiffs' class certification motion and reply as well as Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(f) for leave to appeal the Court's class certification Order to the Eighth Circuit; (iii) identified, retained and worked with industry and damages experts; and (iv) prepared for and participated in mediation to resolve Plaintiffs' claims and negotiated the final Settlement documentation.

4. The firm's résumé is attached to this declaration as Exhibit A.

5. Scott+Scott has spent 1318.6 hours litigating this Action and its lodestar, as set forth below, totals \$902,874. The lodestar is based on current hourly rates, which are usual and customary in an Action of this type.

Lodestar

Name	Hours	Hourly Rate		Lodestar
Partners				
David Scott	5.8	\$775	\$	4,495
Christopher Burke	2.5	\$775	\$	1,938
Beth Kaswan	1.2	\$775	\$	930
Debbie Weintraub	830.1	\$775	\$	643,328
Judy Scolnick	39.7	\$775	\$	30,768
Joseph Guglielmo	7.2	\$710	\$	5,112
Geoff Johnson	0.3	\$710	\$	213
Daryl Scott	0.9	\$675	\$	608
Kristen Anderson	1.0	\$635	\$	635
Total Partners	888.7		\$	688,025
Of Counsel				
Joseph Cohen	43.7	\$710	\$	31,027
Total Of Counsel	43.7		\$	31,027
Associates				
Ryan Wagenleitner	216.6	\$575	\$	124,545
Hal Cunningham	31.5	\$550	\$	17,325
Total Associates	248.1		\$	141,870
Paralegals				
Mario Tlatenchi	24.6	\$280	\$	6,888
Boris Lampzey	37.2	\$280	\$	10,416
Tamar Pacht	3.0	\$275	\$	825
Ann Slaughter	73.3	\$325	\$	23,823
Total Paralegals	138.1		\$	41,952
TOTALS	<u>1,318.6</u>		\$	<u>902,874</u>

6. The Firm has unreimbursed expenses in this Action that total \$105,808 as follows:

Expenses

Consultant	\$	20,602
Courier	\$	316
Court Reporters & Transcripts	\$	3,785
Expert	\$	45,571
Filing, Witness & Other Fees	\$	579
Mediation	\$	8,775
On-Line Research	\$	1,966
Photocopies	\$	4,631
Postage	\$	3
Staff Overtime	\$	277
Telephone & Facsimile	\$	1,069
Travel (Meals, Hotels & Transportation)	\$	17,634
Travel Estimate (Final Approval Hearing)	\$	600
Total	\$	105,808

7. All of the time and expense incurred in the Action are in the books and records of Scott+Scott, except for the expenses to attend the Court’s Final Approval Hearing on January 21, 2016, which was estimated. Except for the Final Approval Hearing, the expenses are supported by records held by Scott+Scott.


I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 8th day of December, 2015, at Colchester, Connecticut.



Daryl F. Scott
 Scott+Scott Attorneys at Law, LLP

EXHIBIT A

SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP



MISSION STATEMENT

Scott+Scott, Attorneys at Law, LLP (“Scott+Scott”) is a nationally recognized law firm headquartered in Connecticut with offices in California, New York City, and Ohio. Scott+Scott represents individuals, businesses, public and private pension funds, and others who have suffered from corporate fraud and wrongdoing. Scott+Scott is directly responsible for recovering hundreds of millions of dollars and achieving substantial corporate governance reforms on behalf of its clients. Scott+Scott has significant expertise in complex securities, antitrust, consumer, ERISA, and civil rights litigation in both federal and state courts. Through its efforts, Scott+Scott promotes corporate social responsibility.

SECURITIES AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Scott+Scott represents individuals and institutional investors that have suffered from stock fraud and corporate malfeasance. Scott+Scott’s philosophy is simple – directors and officers should be truthful in their dealings with the public markets and honor their duties to their shareholders. Since its inception, Scott+Scott’s securities and corporate governance litigation department has developed and maintained a reputation of excellence and integrity recognized by state and federal and state courts across the country. “It is this Court’s position that Scott+Scott did a superlative job in its representation, which substantially benefited Ariel For the record, it should be noted that Scott+Scott has demonstrated a remarkable grasp and handling of the extraordinarily complex matters in this case They have possessed a knowledge of the issues presented and this knowledge has always been used to the benefit of all investors.” *N.Y. Univ. v. Ariel Fund Ltd.*, No. 603803/08, slip. op. at 9-10 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. Feb. 22, 2010). “The quality of representation here is demonstrated, in part, by the result achieved for the class. Further, it has been this court’s experience, throughout the ongoing litigation of this matter, that counsel have conducted themselves with the utmost professionalism and respect for the court and the judicial process.” *In re Priceline.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 00-cv-01884, 2007 WL 2115592, at *5 (D. Conn. July 20, 2007).

Scott+Scott has successfully prosecuted numerous class actions under the federal securities laws, resulting in the recovery of hundreds of millions of dollars for shareholders. Representative cases prosecuted by Scott+Scott under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 include: *In re Priceline.com, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, No. 00-cv-01884 (D. Conn. July 19, 2007) (\$80 million settlement); *Irvine v. ImClone Sys., Inc.*, No. 02-cv-00109 (S.D.N.Y. July 29, 2005) (\$75 million settlement); *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Group*, No. 08-cv-03758 (S.D.N.Y. July 20, 2011) (\$70 million settlement); *Schnall v. Annuity and Life Re (Holdings) Ltd.*, No. 02-cv-2133 (D. Conn. June 13, 2008) (\$26.5 million settlement); and *St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighter’s*

Pension Trust Fund v. Oilsands Quest Inc., No. 11-cv-1288-JSR (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 6, 2013) (\$10.23 million settlement) (\$7.85 million settlement preliminarily approved). Representative cases prosecuted by Scott+Scott under the Securities Act of 1933 include: *In re Washington Mutual Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation*, No. 09-cv-0037 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 7, 2014) (\$26 million settlement); *In re Pacific Biosciences Securities Litigation*, No. CIV509210 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Mateo County, Oct. 31, 2013) (\$7.68 million settlement); *West Palm Beach Police Pension Fund v. CardioNet, Inc.*, No. 37-2010-00086836-CU-SL-CTL (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego County, 2010) (\$7.25 million settlement); *Parker v. National City Corp.*, No. CV-08-657360 (Ohio Ct. Com. Pl., Cuyahoga County, June 23, 2010) (\$5.25 million settlement); and *Hamel v. GT Solar International, Inc.*, No. 217-2010-CV-05004 (N.H. Super. Ct., Merrimack County, May 10, 2011) (\$10.25 million settlement).

Scott+Scott currently serves as court-appointed lead counsel in various federal securities class actions, including *Birmingham Retirement and Relief System, v. S.A.C. Capital Advisors*, No. 1:12-cv-09350 (S.D.N.Y. June 17, 2013); *In re NQ Mobile Securities Litigation*, No. 13-cv-07608 (S.D.N.Y. April 9, 2014); *In re Conn's Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 14-cv-00548 (S.D. Tex. June 3, 2014) and *Weston v. RCS Capital Corp.*, No. 14-10136 (S.D.N.Y., Dec. 29, 2014).

In addition to prosecuting federal securities class actions, Scott+Scott has a proven track record of handling corporate governance matters through its extensive experience litigating shareholder derivative actions. In addition, Scott+Scott has been singularly successful in its shareholder derivative appellate practice, and as a result, has been instrumental in fashioning the standards in this area of law. In *Westmoreland County Employee Retirement System v. Parkinson*, No. 12-3342 (7th Cir. Aug. 16, 2013), the Seventh Circuit clarified the parameters of demand futility in those instances where a majority of directors of a corporation are alleged to have breached the fiduciary duty of loyalty by consciously disregarding positive law. In *Cottrell v. Duke*, No. 12-3871 (8th Cir. Dec. 28, 2013), the Eighth Circuit, in a case of first impression, clarified that the *Colorado River* stay is virtually never appropriate where there are exclusive federal claims. And in *King v. Verifone Holdings, Inc.*, No. 330, 2010 (Del. Jan. 28, 2011), the Supreme Court of Delaware has clarified the availability of the Delaware Corporate Code Section 220 “books and records” demands to a shareholder whose original plenary action was dismissed without prejudice in a federal district court. Representative actions prosecuted by Scott+Scott include: *In re DaVita Healthcare Partners Derivative Litigation*, No. 13-cv-1308 (D. Colo.) (corporate governance reform valued at \$100 million); *North Miami Beach General Employees Retirement Fund v. Parkinson*, No. 10C6514 (N.D. Ill.) (corporate governance valued between \$50 million and \$60 million); *In re Marvell Tech. Group Ltd. Derivative Litigation*, No. C-06-03894-RMW (RS) (N.D. Cal. Aug. 11, 2009) (\$54.9 million and corporate governance reforms); *In re Qwest Communications International, Inc.*, No. Civ. 01-RB-1451 (D. Colo. June 15, 2004) (\$25 million and corporate governance reform); *Plymouth County Contributory Retirement Fund v. Hassan*, No. 08-cv-1022 (D.N.J.) (settlement of derivative claims against Merck Schering Plough and its officers and directors providing for corporate governance reforms valued between \$50 million and \$75 million); *Carfagno v. Schnitzer*, No. 08-cv-912-SAS (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2009) (modification of terms of preferred securities issued to insiders valued at \$8 million); and *Garcia v. Carrion*, No. 3:09-cv-01507 (D.P.R. Sept. 12, 2011) (settlement of derivative claims against the company and its officers and directors providing for corporate governance reforms valued between \$10.05 million and \$15.49 million).

Currently, Scott+Scott is actively prosecuting shareholder derivative actions, including *In re Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 11387 (Del. Ch. Aug. 13, 2015); *In re Tile Shop Holdings, Inc. Stockholder Derivative Litigation*, C. A. No. 108884 (Del. Ch. July 31, 2015); *West Palm Beach Fire Pension Fund v. Page*, No. 15-1334 (N.D. Cal. March 23, 2015); *In re Duke Energy Corp. Coal Ash Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 9682 (Del. Ch. May 21, 2014); and *In re OSI Systems, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, No. 14-2910 (C. D. Cal. April 15, 2014).

ANTITRUST

Scott+Scott litigates complex antitrust cases throughout the United States. Scott+Scott represents investors, business, and consumers in price-fixing, bid-rigging, monopolization, and other restraints of trade cases on both a class-wide and individual basis, helping to ensure that markets remain free, open, and competitive. With the opening of a London Office, Scott+Scott's commitment to competition now includes pursuing its clients' claims on a global basis.

Scott+Scott's class action antitrust practice includes serving as court-appointed lead counsel with the responsibility for the prosecution of class claims. Scott+Scott serves as court-appointed lead counsel in high-value antitrust class action cases, including *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) (challenging bid rigging and market allocation of leveraged buyouts by private equity firms resulting in \$590.5 million in settlements); *In Re: Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing of foreign exchange rates (over \$2 billion in partial settlements negotiated)); and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corp.*, No. 14-cv-7126 (S.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing of the ISDAfix benchmark interest rate). Scott+Scott has served as court-appointed lead counsel in other cases, including *In re Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1891, No. CV 07-06542 (C.D. Cal.) (challenging price-fixing/illegal surcharge (\$86 million in cash and travel voucher settlements) and *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company*, No. 12-cv-03824 (E.D. Pa.) (challenging monopolization in the sale of name-brand pharmaceutical (\$8 million settlement)).

When not serving as lead counsel, Scott+Scott has served on the executive leadership committees in numerous class action cases. Representative actions include *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:05-md-1720 (E.D.N.Y.) (challenging price-fixing in the payment cards industry (\$7.25 billion settlement)); *Kleen Products LLC v. Packaging Corporation of America*, No. 1:10-cv-05711 (N.D. Ill.) (challenging price-fixing of containerboard products); and *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig.*, No. 13-md-2420-YGR (DMR) (N.D. Cal.) (challenging price-fixing of lithium-ion batteries).

Scott+Scott's class action antitrust experience includes serving as co-trial counsel in *In re Scrap Metal Antitrust Litigation*, 02-cv-0844-KMO (N.D. Ohio), where it helped obtain a \$34.5 million jury verdict, which was subsequently affirmed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (see *In re Scrap Metal Antitrust Litigation*, 527 F.3d 517, 524 (6th Cir. 2008)), and in the consolidated bench trial in *Ross v. Bank of America N.A.*, No. 05-cv-7116, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.), and *Ross v. American Express Co.*, No. 04-cv-5723, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.).

Scott+Scott also represents large clients in opt-out antitrust litigation. Scott+Scott currently represents Eastman Kodak Company, Agfa Corporation, Agfa Graphics, N.V., and Mag Instrument, Inc. in *In re: Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2481 (S.D.N.Y.). Scott+Scott previously represented publicly traded corporations, such as Parker Hannifin Corporation and PolyOne Corporation, in matters such as *In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1648 (N.D. Cal.); *In re Polychloroprene Rubber (CR) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1642 (D. Conn.); and *In re Plastic Additives Antitrust Litigation (No. II)*, MDL No. 1684 (E.D. Pa.).

CONSUMER RIGHTS

Scott+Scott and its attorneys have a proven track record of obtaining significant recoveries for consumers in class action cases. Scott+Scott is one of the premier advocates in the area of consumer protection law and has been appointed to a number of prominent leadership positions.

Cases where Scott+Scott has played a leading role in the area of consumer protection litigation include:

- *In re Providian Financial Corp. Credit Card Terms Litigation*, MDL No. 1301 (E.D. Pa.) (\$105 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of credit card holders who were charged excessive interest and late charges on their credit cards);
- *The Vulcan Society, Inc. v. The City of New York*, No. 07-cv-02067 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$100 million settlement and significant injunctive relief was obtained for a class of black and Hispanic applicants who sought to be New York City firefighters but were denied or delayed employment due to racial discrimination);
- *In re Prudential Ins. Co. SGLI/VGLI Contract Litigation*, MDL No. 2208 (D. Mass.) (\$40 million settlement was achieved on behalf of a class of military service members and their families who had purchased insurance contracts);
- *Gunther v. Capital One, N.A.*, No. 09-2966 (E.D.N.Y.) (a net settlement resulting in class members receiving 100% of their damages was obtained);
- *In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2086 (W.D. Mo.) (\$37 million settlement obtained on behalf of class of propane purchasers who alleged defendants overcharged the class for under-filled propane tanks);
- *Murr v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.*, No. 13-cv-1091 (E.D. Va.) (\$7.3 million settlement pending on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest offers); and
- *Howerton v. Cargill, Inc.*, No. 13-cv-00336 (D. Haw.) (\$6.1 settlement obtained on behalf of a class of consumers who purchased Truvia, purported to be deceptively marketed as “all-natural”).

Moreover, Scott+Scott is currently serving in a leadership capacity in a number of class action consumer protection cases, including:

- *In re The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.) (claims involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of 56 million credit and debit card holders);
- *In re Target Corp. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.) (claims involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of customers holding approximately 110 million credit and debit cards);
- *In re Herbal Supplements Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2519 (N.D. Ill.) (claims on behalf of a class of consumers alleging major retail-chain defendants misrepresent the ingredients in store-branded herbal supplements); and
- *In re L'Oreal Wrinkle Cream Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2415 (D.N.J.) (claims on behalf of a class of consumers alleging defendants misrepresent the anti-aging benefits of certain of their products).

EMPLOYEE BENEFITS (ERISA)

Scott+Scott litigates complex class actions across the United States on behalf of corporate employees alleging violations of the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act. ERISA was enacted by Congress to prevent employers from exercising improper control over retirement plan assets and requires that pension and 401(k) plan trustees, including employer corporations, owe the highest fiduciary duties to retirement plans and their participants as to their retirement funds. Scott+Scott is committed to continuing its leadership in ERISA and related employee-retirement litigation, as well as to those employees who entrust their employers with hard-earned retirement savings. Representative recoveries by Scott+Scott include: *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport ERISA Litigation*, No. 2:04-cv-01398-JWB-SDW (D.N.J. Aug. 30, 2005) (\$90 million settlement); *In re General Motors ERISA Litigation*, No. 2:05-cv-71085-NGE-RSW (E.D. Mich. June 5, 2008) (\$37.5 million settlement); and *Rantala v. ConAgra Foods*, No. 8:05-cv-00349-LES-TDT (D. Neb.) (\$4 million settlement).

CIVIL RIGHTS LITIGATION

Scott+Scott has also successfully litigated cases to enforce its clients' civil rights. In *The Vulcan Society, Inc. v. The City of New York*, No. 1:07-cv-02067-NGG-RLM (E.D.N.Y.), Scott+Scott was part of a team of lawyers representing a class of black applicants who were denied or delayed employment as New York City firefighters due to decades of racial discriminatory conduct. The district court certified the class in a post-*Walmart v. Dukes* decision, granted summary judgment against the City on both intentional discrimination and disparate impact claims, and after trial ordered broad injunctive relief, including a new examination, revision of the application procedure, and continued monitoring by a court-appointed monitor for at least 10 years. The back pay and compensatory damage award will be determined in a subsequent ruling. In *Hohider v. United Parcel Services, Inc.*, No. 2:04-cv-00363-JFC (W.D. Penn.), Scott+Scott obtained significant structural changes to UPS's Americans with Disabilities Act compliance

policies and monetary awards for some individual employees in settlement of a ground-breaking case seeking nationwide class certification of UPS employees who were barred from reemployment after suffering injuries on the job.

ATTORNEY BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

MELVIN SCOTT is a graduate of the University of Connecticut (B.A. 1950) and the University of Kentucky (M.A. 1953; LL.B. 1957). Mr. Scott founded the firm in 1975. He formerly practiced in Kentucky and is presently admitted to practice in Connecticut and Pennsylvania. Mr. Scott was a member of the Kentucky Law Review, where he submitted several articles for publication. He has served as an Attorney Trial Referee since the inception of the program in the State of Connecticut and is a member of the Fee Dispute Committee for New London County. Mr. Scott also formerly served as a Special Public Defender in criminal cases and as a member of the New London County Grievance Committee. Mr. Scott actively represents aggrieved parties in securities, commercial and criminal litigation and served or serves as counsel in *Irvine, et al. v. ImClone Systems, Inc.*; *Schnall v. Annuity and Life Re (Holdings) Ltd.*; *In re 360networks Class Action Securities Litigation*; *In re General Motors ERISA Litigation*, and *Hohider v. UPS*, among others.

DAVID R. SCOTT is the managing partner of Scott+Scott. He represents multinational corporations, hedge funds, and institutional investors in high-stakes complex litigation, including antitrust, commercial, and securities actions.

Mr. Scott's antitrust experience includes matters dealing with unlawful price-fixing cartels, illegal tying, and anticompetitive monopolization. Mr. Scott's antitrust cases have resulted in significant recoveries for victims of price-fixing cartels. In 2015, *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners*, an action alleging that the largest private equity firms in the United States colluded to suppress prices that shareholders received in leveraged buyouts, settled for \$600 million. And he was lead counsel in *Red Lion Medical Safety v. Ohmeda*, a lawsuit alleging that Ohmeda, one of the leading manufacturers of medical anesthesia equipment in the United States, excluded independent service organizations from the market for servicing its equipment. The case was successfully resolved in settlement negotiations before trial.

Mr. Scott's firm is currently lead counsel in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, a cartel action alleging a longstanding and widespread conspiracy to manipulate the foreign exchange market, in which billions of dollars in settlements have been announced to date. His firm is also lead counsel in a class action case alleging that the world's largest banks and their broker, ICAP, entered into a conspiracy to manipulate ISDAfix, a financial benchmark that is tied to over \$379 trillion of outstanding interest-rate swaps around the world.

Mr. Scott's firm served as co-trial counsel in *In re Scrap Metal Antitrust Litigation* where it obtained a \$34.5 million jury verdict. Scott+Scott also played a substantive role in a lawsuit accusing Visa and MasterCard of engaging in anticompetitive conduct in setting credit card and debit card acceptance fees that recently settled for a record \$7.25 billion by leading the discovery and briefing efforts as to MasterCard.

In addition to his competition law experience, Mr. Scott has taken the lead in bringing claims on behalf of institutional investors, such as sovereign wealth funds, corporate pension schemes, and public employee retirement funds, against mortgaged-backed securities ("MBS") trustees for failing to protect investors. Such cases include *Retirement Board of the Policemen's Annuity*

and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago v. The Bank of New York Mellon (MBS sponsored by Countrywide Financial Corp.); *Retirement Board of the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago v. Bank of America* (MBS sponsored by Washington Mutual Bank); and *Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System v. U.S. Bank National Association* (MBS sponsored by Bear Stearns). He also represented a consortium of regional banks in litigation relating to toxic auction rate securities ("ARS") and obtained a sizable recovery for the banks in a confidential settlement. This case represents one of the few ARS cases in the country to be successfully resolved in favor of the plaintiffs.

In addition, Mr. Scott has extensive experience litigating shareholder derivative cases, achieving substantial corporate governance reforms on behalf of his clients. Representative actions include: *In re Marvell Tech. Group Ltd. Derivative Litigation* (settlement obtaining \$54.9 million in financial benefits for the company, including \$14.6 million in cash, and corporate governance reforms to improve stock option granting procedures and internal controls, valued at more than \$150 million); *In re Qwest Communications International, Inc.* (settlement obtaining \$25 million for the company and achieving corporate governance reforms aimed at ensuring board independence); *Plymouth County Contributory Retirement System v. Hasan* (settlement requiring annual reporting to the company's board where any clinical drug trial is delayed, valued at between \$50 million-\$75 million).

Mr. Scott has received widespread recognition for his competition law and antitrust work. He has been elected to *Who's Who Legal: Competition 2015*, which lists the world's top competition and antitrust lawyers, who are selected based on comprehensive, independent survey work with both general counsel and lawyers in private practice around the world. He has also received a highly recommended ranking by *Benchmark Litigation* for each of the years 2013-2015.

Mr. Scott is frequently quoted in the press, including in publications such as *The Financial Times*, *The Guardian*, *The Daily Telegraph*, *The Wall Street Journal*, and *Law360*. He is regularly invited to speak at conferences around the world and before Boards of Directors and trustees responsible for managing institutional investments.

Mr. Scott is admitted to practice in Connecticut, New York, the United States Tax Court, and numerous United States District Courts.

Mr. Scott is a graduate of St. Lawrence University (B.A., *cum laude*, 1986), Temple University School of Law (J.D., Moot Court Board, 1989), and New York University School of Law (LL.M. in taxation).

BETH A. KASWAN, during her tenure as an Assistant U.S. Attorney and subsequent promotions to Chief of the Commercial Litigation Unit and Deputy Chief of the Civil Division of the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, was appointed by the FDA as lead counsel in litigation to enjoin the manufacture of adulterated generic drugs in the landmark case *United States v. Barr Laboratories, Inc.*, 812 F. Supp. 458 (D.N.J. 1993). Ms. Kaswan, who began her career as an accountant at the offices of Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co., and then worked as a civil trial attorney at the U.S. Department of Justice in Washington, D.C., is the recipient of several awards from the Justice Department and other agencies she represented,

including the Justice Department's John Marshall award, Special Commendation from the Attorney General, a Superior Performance award from the Executive Office of U.S. Attorneys and Tax Division Outstanding Achievement awards.

While at Scott+Scott, Ms. Kaswan served as lead counsel in *Boilermakers National Annuity Trust Fund v. WaMu Mortgage Pass Through Certificates*, No. 09-cv-00037 (W.D. Wa.), the WaMu RMBS Section 11 Securities Act case which settled after plaintiffs succeeded in defeating the defendants' motion for summary judgment, only weeks before it was scheduled to proceed to a jury trial. Ms. Kaswan just completed the nine-week trial in *In the Matter of the Application of The Bank of New York Mellon*, Index No. 651786/2011 (N.Y. Supr. Ct.) in which she and other interveners challenged the proposed settlement between Bank of New York Mellon and Bank of America to resolve repurchase and servicing claims for 530 Countrywide trusts. Ms. Kaswan is currently lead counsel suing Bank of New York Mellon in federal court in *Retirement Board of the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund for the City of Chicago v. The Bank of New York Mellon*, No. 11-cv-5459 (S.D.N.Y.), for its failure to prosecute the Countrywide Trusts' claims under the federal Trust Indenture Act ("TIA"). She is also pursuing TIA claims against the Securitization Trustees for WaMu and Bear Stearns Trusts in *Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago v. Bank of America, N.A.*, No. 12-cv-2865 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System v. U.S. Bank N.A.*, No. 11-cv-8066 (S.D.N.Y.), respectively. Ms. Kaswan brought a derivative suit on behalf of New York University against Ezra Merkin to freeze funds belonging to a feeder fund to Bernard Madoff. She also served as lead counsel to another shareholder derivative case, *Carfagno v. Schnitzer*, No. 08-CV-912-SAS (S.D.N.Y.), where she successfully negotiated a settlement on behalf of Centerline Holding Company and Centerline shareholders. Ms. Kaswan has served as lead counsel in *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse Group*, No. 08-cv-3758 (S.D.N.Y.) and *In re Tetra Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 08-cv-0965 (S.D. Tex.), among others.

Ms. Kaswan is a member of the New York and Massachusetts bars. While working at the U.S. Department of Justice, Ms. Kaswan frequently appeared in the U.S. District Courts in Kentucky. Ms. Kaswan has been practicing law for over 35 years and is a partner in the firm's New York office.

CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE chairs Scott+Scott's competition practice and sets the Firm's litigation standards. Mr. Burke's principal practice is in complex antitrust litigation, particularly in the financial services industry and he has served as lead counsel in some of the world's largest financial services antitrust matters. He currently sits as a partner in the firm's San Diego and New York offices.

Currently, Mr. Burke is lead counsel in *In Re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.); and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation*, 14-cv-7126 (S.D.N.Y.) (interest rate swap and swaption litigation). Mr. Burke serves on the Executive Committee in *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-md-2420-YGR (DMR) (N.D. Cal.).

Mr. Burke served as co-lead counsel in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners*, 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) (\$590.5 million settlement); *In re Currency Conversion Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1409

(S.D.N.Y.) (\$336 million settlement); *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1720 (E.D.N.Y.) (\$7.25 billion settlement); *LiPuma v. American Express Co.*, Case No. 1:04-cv-20314 (S.D. Fla.) (\$90 million settlement); and was one of the trial counsel in *Schwartz v. Visa*, Case No. 822505-4 (Alameda Cty. Super. Ct.) (\$800 million plaintiff verdict); and *In re Disposable Contact Lens Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1030 (M.D. Fla.). Mr. Burke was one of the original lawyers in the *Wholesale Elec. Antitrust* cases in California, which settled for over \$1 billion.

Further, Mr. Burke was trial counsel in *Ross v. Bank of America N.A.*, No. 05-cv-7116, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Ross v. American Express Co.*, No. 04-cv-5723, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.). He was also co-lead counsel for indirect purchasers in *In re Korean Air Lines Co., Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1891 (C.D. Cal.) (\$86 million settlement), and *In re Prudential Ins. Co. of America SGLI/VGLI Contract Litigation*, No. 11-md-2208 (D. Mass.) (\$40 million settlement). Mr. Burke also organized and filed the first of the *In re Credit Default Swap Antitrust Litigation*, 13-md-2476 (S.D.N.Y.), matters.

Mr. Burke frequently lectures at professional conferences and CLEs on competition matters, including litigation surrounding financial benchmarks, class-barring arbitration clauses, the effects of *Twombly* in 12(b)(6) motions, and the increasing use of experts. In 2014, he was recognized for his exemplary work in the *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners* matter by the American Antitrust Institute and has regularly been designated as a Super Lawyer by Thomson Reuters.

Mr. Burke is a graduate of The Ohio State University (B.A. 1984), William & Mary (M.A. 1988), and the University of Wisconsin (M.A. 1989; J.D. 1993; Ph.D. 1996). He has also served as an Assistant Attorney General at the Wisconsin Department of Justice and has lectured on law-related topics, including constitutional law, law and politics, and civil rights at the State University of New York at Buffalo and at the University of Wisconsin. Mr. Burke's book, *The Appearance of Equality: Racial Gerrymandering, Redistricting, and the Supreme Court* (Greenwood, 1999), examines conflicts over voting rights and political representation within the competing rhetoric of communitarian and liberal strategies of justification.

Mr. Burke is admitted to practice by the Supreme Courts of the States of California, New York, and Wisconsin, and numerous United States District Courts and Courts of Appeal.

JOSEPH P. GUGLIELMO is a partner in the firm's New York office and represents institutional and individual clients in securities, antitrust, and consumer litigation in federal and state courts throughout the United States and has achieved numerous successful outcomes.

Recently, Mr. Guglielmo, along with other attorneys at Scott+Scott, was recognized for his efforts representing New York University in obtaining a monumental temporary restraining order of over \$200 million from a Bernard Madoff feeder fund. Specifically, New York State Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Lowe III stated, "Scott+Scott has demonstrated a remarkable grasp and handling of the extraordinarily complex matters in this case. The extremely professional and thorough means by which NYU's counsel has litigated this matter has not been overlooked by this Court."

Mr. Guglielmo serves in a leadership capacity in a number of complex antitrust, securities, and consumer actions, including: *In re The Home Depot, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2583 (N.D. Ga.), claims involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of 56 million credit and debit card holders, *In re Target Corporation Customer Data Security Breach Litigation*, MDL No. 2522 (D. Minn.), claims involving data breach and the theft of the personal and financial information of customers holding approximately 110 million credit and debit cards, *In re Herbal Supplements Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL No. 2619 (N.D. Ill.), claims on behalf of a class of consumers alleging major retail-chain defendants misrepresented the ingredients in store-branded herbal supplements.

Mr. Guglielmo has achieved significant victories and obtained numerous settlements for his clients. He was one of the principals involved in the litigation and settlement of *In re Managed Care Litigation*, MDL No. 1334 (S.D. Fla.), which included settlements with Aetna, CIGNA, Prudential, Health Net, Humana, and WellPoint, providing monetary and injunctive benefits exceeding \$1 billion. Additional cases Mr. Guglielmo played a leading role and obtained substantial recoveries for his clients include: *Love v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Ass'n, No. 03-cv-21296* (S.D. Fla.), which resulted in settlements of approximately \$130 million and injunctive benefits valued in excess of \$2 billion; *In re Insurance Brokerage Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1897 (D.N.J.), settlements in excess of \$180 million; *In re Pre-Filled Propane Tank Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*, MDL 2086 (W.D. Mo.), consumer settlements in excess of \$40 million; *Bassman v. Union Pacific Corp., No. 97-cv-02819* (N.D. Tex.), \$35.5 million securities class action settlement; *Garcia v. Carrion*, Case No. CV. 11-1801 (D. P.R.), substantial corporate governance reforms; and *Boilermakers National Annuity Trust Fund v. WaMu Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, No. 09-cv-00037* (W.D. Wash.), \$26 million securities class action settlement, *Murr v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A., No. 13-cv-1091* (E.D. Va.) \$7.3 million settlement pending on behalf of class of consumers who were misled into accepting purportedly 0% interest offers, and *Howerton v. Cargill, Inc., No. 13-cv-00336* (D. Haw.) \$6.1 settlement obtained on behalf of class of consumers who purchased Truvia, purported to be deceptively marketed as “all-natural.”

Mr. Guglielmo was the principle litigator and obtained a significant opinion from the Hawaii Supreme Court in *Hawaii Medical Association v. Hawaii Medical Service Association*, 113 Hawaii 77 (Haw. 2006), reversing the trial court’s dismissal and clarifying rights for consumers under the state’s unfair competition law.

Mr. Guglielmo lectures on electronic discovery and is a member of the Steering Committee of the Sedona Conference®, an organization devoted to providing guidance and information concerning issues such as discovery and production issues, as well as areas focusing on antitrust law, complex litigation, and intellectual property. Recently, Mr. Guglielmo was selected as a speaker for electronic discovery issues at the Sedona Conference as well as the Advanced eDiscovery Institute at Georgetown University Law Center. Mr. Guglielmo was also recognized for his achievements in litigation by his selection to *The National Law Journal’s* “Plaintiffs’ Hot List.”

Mr. Guglielmo graduated from the Catholic University of America (B.A., *cum laude*, 1992; J.D., 1995) and also received a Certificate of Public Policy.

Mr. Guglielmo is admitted to practice before numerous federal and state courts: the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, Second Circuit, Third Circuit and Ninth Circuit, the United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of Massachusetts, the District of Connecticut, District of Colorado, Eastern District of Wisconsin, New York State, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. He is also a member of the following associations: District of Columbia Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, American Bar Association, and The Sedona Conference®.

GEOFFREY M. JOHNSON is a partner in the firm's Ohio office. Mr. Johnson's practice focuses on commercial and class action trial work and appeals. His areas of concentration include complex securities litigation, ERISA class actions, and commercial and class action antitrust litigation.

Notably, Mr. Johnson serves as lead counsel in *Pfeil v. State Street Bank and Trust Company*, 2:09-cv-12229 (E.D. Mich.), a case of national significance in the area of employee retirement plans. In the case, Mr. Johnson represents a class of over 200,000 current and former General Motors employees who owned General Motors stock in GM's two main retirement plans. Mr. Johnson successfully argued the case to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, which issued an opinion that is now looked to nationally as one of the seminal cases in the area of ERISA fiduciary duties and employee rights. See *Pfeil v. State Street Bank and Trust Company*, 671 F.3d 585 (6th Cir. 2012).

Mr. Johnson has also served as lead or co-lead counsel in other major securities and ERISA cases, including: *In re Royal Dutch/Shell ERISA Litigation*, No. 04-1398 (D.N.J.), which settled for \$90 million and is one of the three largest recoveries ever obtained in an ERISA class action case; *In re Priceline Securities Litigation*, 00-cv-1884 (D. Conn.), which settled for \$80 million and is the largest class action securities settlement ever obtain in the State of Connecticut; and *In re General Motors ERISA Litigation*, 05-cv-71085 (E.D. Mich.), a case that settled for \$37.5 million and ranks among the largest ERISA class settlements ever obtained.

Mr. Johnson has been active in the firm's mortgage-backed securities litigation practice, serving as lead or co-lead counsel in mortgage-backed securities class action cases involving Washington Mutual (*In re Washington Mutual Mortgage Backed Securities Litigation*, 2:09-cv-00037 (W. D. Wash.)) and Countrywide Financial (*Putnam Bank v. Countrywide Financial, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-302 (C.D. Cal.)). Mr. Johnson also helped develop the theories that the firm's pension fund clients have used to pursue class action cases against mortgage-backed security trustees. See *Retirement Board of the Policemen's Annuity & Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago v. Bank of New York Mellon* (Case No. 11-cv-05459 (S.D.N.Y.)); *Oklahoma Police Pension & Retirement System v. U.S. Bank NA* (Case No. 11-cv-8066 (S.D.N.Y.)).

In addition, Mr. Johnson is active in the firm's appellate practice group, where he has handled numerous class action appeals, including appeals in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Third Circuit, Fifth Circuit, Sixth Circuit, Seventh Circuit, and Eleventh Circuit.

Mr. Johnson is a graduate of Grinnell College (B.A., Political Science with Honors, 1996) and the University of Chicago Law School (J.D., with Honors, 1999), where he served on the law review. Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Johnson clerked for the Honorable Karen Nelson Moore, United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

JUDY SCOLNICK is a partner in the firm's New York office. Ms. Scolnick is a graduate of New York University (B.A., *cum laude* 1972), Brandeis University (M.A. Political Science Theory, 1973), and Boston College Law School (J.D., 1976), where she served on the Boston College Industrial and Commercial Law Review. She has extensive experience in the fields of shareholder derivative law, particularly in the pharmaceutical industry, employment law and employment class actions, and securities class actions. She has contributed substantially to recent jurisprudence expanding shareholders' rights to examine books and records of the corporations in which they hold stock. In *Cain v. Merck & Co., Inc.*, 415 N.J. Super. 319 (N.J. Super. A.D. 2010), the New Jersey Appellate Division agreed with Ms. Scolnick and held in a precedential decision that the New Jersey Business Corporation Act allows shareholders to inspect the minutes of board of directors and executive committee meetings upon a showing of proper purpose. In *King v. VeriFone Holdings, Inc.*, 12 A.3d 1140 (Del. Supr. 2011), the Delaware Supreme Court ruled in a ground-breaking decision that plaintiffs may, in certain circumstances, inspect a corporation's books and records to bolster a shareholder derivative complaint even after they have filed a lawsuit.

She has served as lead counsel in many shareholder derivative actions and is currently lead counsel in *North Miami General Employees Retirement Fund v. Parkinson*, No. 10-cv-6514 (N.D. Ill.), a shareholder derivative case on behalf of pharmaceutical company, Baxter International, arising from the Board's failure to comply with FDA orders to remediate a medical device known as the Colleague Pump. She is also lead counsel in *Cottrell v. Duke*, No. 12-4041 (W.D. Ark.), a shareholder derivative action brought on behalf of Wal-Mart arising from a widespread bribery and cover-up conspiracy conducted by Wal-Mart executives and Board members.

Ms. Scolnick has experience litigating shareholder derivative actions at both the trial and appellate level. She successfully argued the Baxter appeal where the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, reversing a trial court's dismissal, held that a pension fund's complaint on behalf of all shareholders passed the pre-suit demand futility threshold test under Delaware substantive law. *Westmoreland County Employees' Retirement System v. Parkinson*, 727 F.3d 719 (7th Cir. 2013). Also in 2013, Ms. Scolnick obtained a landmark ruling in the Wal-Mart shareholder derivative litigation from the Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. The Eighth Circuit reversed the district court's stay of the federal action in favor of a related proceeding in Delaware Chancery Court, and held that a *Colorado River* stay is never appropriate where the federal complaint alleges valid, exclusive federal claims. *Cottrell v. Duke*, 737 F.3d 1238 (8th Cir. 2013).

Ms. Scolnick has also litigated a number of important employment discrimination class actions. These include *U.S. v. City of New York*, No. 07-cv-2067, 2011 WL 4639832 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 5, 2011) (successfully representing a class of black applicants for entry-level firefighter jobs who

were discriminated against by the City of New York), *Hohider v. UPS*, 243 F.R.D. 147 (W.D. Pa. 2007), *reversed and remanded*, 574 F.3d 169 (3d Cir. 2009), where although the Third Circuit reversed certification of a nationwide class of Americans with Disabilities Act protected UPS employees, Ms. Scolnick was able to negotiate with UPS changes to its return to work policy with regard to injured workers.

Ms. Scolnick began her career by serving as a law clerk to the late Honorable Anthony Julian of the United States District Court in Massachusetts. Thereafter, she served as a trial attorney in the Civil Division of the United States Department of Justice, where she was lead counsel in several high-profile employment discrimination lawsuits against various U.S. agencies around the country.

Ms. Scolnick has been selected for the past two years in Thompson Reuter's "New York Super Lawyers."

Ms. Scolnick is admitted to practice in New York, New Jersey, and Massachusetts.

WALTER W. NOSS serves as the managing partner for Scott+Scott's San Diego office. He practices complex federal litigation with an emphasis on prosecuting antitrust actions on both a class-wide and individual, opt-out basis.

Currently, Mr. Noss represents class plaintiffs in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.), an action challenging collusion regarding foreign exchange rates, and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation*, No. 1:14-cv-07126 (S.D.N.Y.), an action challenging collusion regarding the setting of the ISDAfix benchmark interest rate.

Mr. Noss represented class plaintiffs in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners LLC*, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), a case challenging collusion among private equity firms. In *Dahl*, Mr. Noss served as one of the primary litigation counsel prosecuting the case, including deposing key managing directors, drafting dispositive motions, and arguing in court in opposition to defendants' summary judgment motions. The defendants in *Dahl* settled for \$590.5 million.

Mr. Noss represented the indirect purchaser class plaintiffs in *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company*, No. 2:12-cv-03824 (E.D. Pa.), a case challenging monopolistic conduct known as "product hopping" by the defendants. In *Mylan*, he was appointed sole lead counsel for the indirect class, and directed their prosecution and eventual settlement of the case for \$8 million.

Mr. Noss also represents corporate opt-out clients in *In re: Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2481 (S.D.N.Y.), a case challenging collusion regarding the spot metal price of physically-delivered aluminum. He has previously represented out-out clients in *In re Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1648 (N.D. Cal.); *In re Polychloroprene Rubber (CR) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1642 (D. Conn.); and *In re Plastics Additives (No. II) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1684 (E.D. Pa.), which were cases involving price-fixing by horizontal competitors in the synthetic rubber industry.

Mr. Noss has experience successfully litigating in federal civil jury trials. In April 2011, Mr. Noss served as lead trial counsel in *Novak v. Gray*, No. 8:09-cv-00880 (M.D. Fla.), winning a \$4.1 million jury verdict for breach of oral contract and fraudulent inducement. In December 2009, Mr. Noss served as plaintiffs' local counsel at trial in *Lederman v. Popovich*, No. 1:07-cv-00845 (N.D. Ohio), resulting in a \$1.8 million jury verdict for plaintiffs on claims of breach of fiduciary duties, conversion, and unjust enrichment. In January and February 2006, Mr. Noss assisted the trial team for *In re Scrap Metal Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:02-cv-0844 (N.D. Ohio 2006), resulting in a \$34.5 million class action plaintiffs' verdict.

Mr. Noss graduated *magna cum laude* from the University of Toledo with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics in 1997 and *with honors* from The Ohio State University College of Law in 2000. He is a member of the California, New York, and Ohio Bars. Mr. Noss is also a member of the bars of the United States District Courts for the Northern, Central, and Southern Districts of California, the Southern District of New York, and the Northern and Southern Districts of Ohio, as well as the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth, Ninth, and Eleventh Circuits. Prior to joining Scott+Scott in April 2004, he was an associate in the Cleveland, Ohio office of Jones Day.

DONALD A. BROGGI is a partner in the firm's New York office. Mr. Broggi is a graduate of the University of Pittsburgh (B.A., 1990) and Duquesne University School of Law (J.D., 2000). He is engaged in the firm's complex securities, antitrust, and consumer litigation, including: *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.), *In re: Priceline.com Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 00-cv-1884 (D. Conn.), *Irvine v. ImClone Systems, Inc.*, No. 02-cv-0109 (S.D.N.Y.), *In re: Rubber Chemicals Antitrust Litigation*, No. C04-01648 (N.D. Cal.), *In re: Plastics Additives Antitrust Litigation*, No. 03-cv-2038 (E.D. Pa.), and *In re Washington Mutual Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation*, No. 09-cv-0037 (W.D. Wash.), among others.

Mr. Broggi also works with the firm's institutional investor clients, including numerous public pension systems and Taft-Hartley funds throughout the United States to ensure their funds have proper safeguards in place to ensure against corporate malfeasance. Similarly, Mr. Broggi consults with institutional investors in the United States and Europe on issues relating to corporate fraud in the U.S. securities markets, as well as corporate governance issues and shareholder litigation. Mr. Broggi has lectured at institutional investor conferences throughout the United States on the value of shareholder activism as a necessary component of preventing corporate fraud abuses, including the Texas Association of Public Employee Retirement Systems, Georgia Association of Public Pension Trustees, Michigan Association of Public Retirement Systems, Illinois Public Pension Fund Association, and the Pennsylvania Association of County Controllers, among others.

Mr. Broggi is admitted to practice in New York and Pennsylvania.

DEBORAH CLARK-WEINTRAUB is a partner in the firm's New York office. Ms. Weintraub graduated from St. John's University, Queens, New York (B.A., *summa cum laude*, 1981; President's Award in recognition of achieving highest GPA among graduates of St. John's College of Liberal Arts and Science) and Hofstra Law School in Hempstead, New York

(J.D., with distinction, 1986). While in law school, Ms. Weintraub was a member and research editor of the Hofstra Law Review. Following her graduation from Hofstra Law School, Ms. Weintraub served as a law clerk to the Honorable Jacob Mishler, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of New York (1986-1987). Ms. Weintraub is a member of the New York bar.

Ms. Weintraub has extensive experience in all types of class action litigation. She is currently representing investors in mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in litigation against trustees of MBS trusts sponsored by Countrywide, WaMu, and Bear Stearns asserting claims for violations of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 and breach of contract in connection with the trustees' failures to discharge their statutory and contractual duties under the trusts' governing agreements to enforce the trusts' rights to require repurchase of mortgage loans in the trusts that breached representations and warranties.

Ms. Weintraub also currently represents a certified class of participants and beneficiaries in two 401(k) Plans of General Motors Corporation in an action against State Street Bank and Trust Company, the independent fiduciary and investment manager for the General Motors Corporation \$1 2/3 Par Value Common Stock Fund held in the Plans, for violating its fiduciary duty to Plan participants under ERISA in failing to divest the Plans' holdings of GM stock in the GM Common Stock Fund when it had become an imprudent investment to hold in the Plans.

Ms. Weintraub is also currently representing certified classes in two significant consumer cases. In *Huyer v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, No. 4:08-CV-00507 (S.D. Iowa), Ms. Weintraub represents multiple, certified classes of borrowers in an action against Wells Fargo & Co. and Wells Fargo Bank, NA, in an action asserting claims for violation of the Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations Act and California's Unfair Competition Law in connection with Wells Fargo's assessment of charges for repeated property inspection fees to delinquent borrowers. Ms. Weintraub is also co-lead counsel for the certified class of consumers in *In re Glaceau Vitaminwater Marketing and Sales Practice Litig.*, No. 11-md-2215 (S.D.N.Y.), seeking injunctive relief for violations of California and New York deceptive trade practice statutes in connection with the marketing of Vitaminwater.

Ms. Weintraub has extensive securities class action experience and has acted as plaintiffs' co-lead counsel in numerous cases that have obtained substantial recoveries for defrauded investors. Ms. Weintraub was one of the lead counsel in *In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation*, MDL No. 1222 (S.D.N.Y.), in which a cash settlement of \$300 million was obtained on the eve of trial after more than five years of litigation. At the time, the \$300 million cash recovery was one of the largest recoveries ever achieved in a securities class action. The Honorable Charles L. Briant, Jr., who presided over this case described it as "perhaps the most heavily defended, ardently pursued defense of a similar case that I can recall." Ms. Weintraub also served plaintiffs' co-lead counsel in *In re CVS Corporation Securities Litigation*, No. 01-11464 (D. Mass.), in which a cash settlement of \$110 million was obtained for investors. Following the settlement in March 2006, CVS disclosed that the SEC had opened an inquiry into the manner in which CVS had accounted for a barter transaction, a subject of the class action suit, and that independent counsel to the firm's audit committee had concluded in December

2005 that various aspects of the company's accounting for the transaction were incorrect, leading to the resignations of the company's controller and treasurer.

Ms. Weintraub is the co-author of "Gender Bias and the Treatment of Women as Advocates," *Women in Law* (1998), and the "Dissenting Introduction" defending the merits of securities class action litigation contained in the 1994 monograph "Securities Class Actions: Abuses and Remedies," published by the National Legal Center for the Public Interest. She is a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York.

WILLIAM C. FREDERICKS is a partner in the firm's New York office. Mr. Fredericks holds a B.A. (with high honors) from Swarthmore College, an M. Litt. in International Relations from Oxford University (England), and a J.D. from Columbia University Law School. At Columbia, Mr. Fredericks was a three-time Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar, a Columbia University International Fellow, and the winner of the law school's Beck Prize (property law), Toppan Prize (advanced constitutional law), Greenbaum Prize (written advocacy), and Dewey Prize (oral advocacy).

After clerking for the Hon. Robert S. Gawthrop III (E.D. Pa.), Mr. Fredericks spent seven years practicing securities and complex commercial litigation at Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP and Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP in New York before moving to the plaintiffs' side of the bar in 1996. Since then, he has represented investors as a lead or co-lead plaintiff in dozens of securities class actions, including *In re Wachovia Preferred Securities and Bond/Notes Litig.*, No. 09-cv-6351 (S.D.N.Y.) (total settlements of \$627 million, reflecting the largest recovery ever in a pure Securities Act case not involving any parallel government fraud claims); *In re Rite Aid Securities Litig.*, 99-cv-1349 (E.D. Pa.) (total settlements of \$323 million, including the then-second largest securities fraud settlement ever against a Big Four accounting firm); *In re Sears Roebuck & Co. Sec. Litig.*, No. 02-cv-07527 (N.D. Ill.) (\$215 million settlement, representing the largest §10(b) class action recovery ever not involving either a financial restatement or parallel government fraud claims); *In re State Street ERISA Litig.*, No. 07-cv-8488 (S.D.N.Y.) (one of the largest ERISA class settlements to date) and *Irvine v. Imclone Systems, Inc.*, No. 02-cv-0109 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$75 million settlement). Mr. Fredericks also played a lead role on the team that obtained a rare 9-0 decision for securities fraud plaintiffs in the U.S. Supreme Court in *Merck & Co., Inc. v. Reynolds*, No. 08-905, and has coauthored several amicus briefs in other Supreme Court cases involving securities issues (including the recent *Halliburton* and *Amgen* cases). More recently, Mr. Fredericks has also played a major role in litigating claims relating to mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") arising out of the financial crisis.

Mr. Fredericks is recognized in the 2014-15 edition of "America's Best Lawyers" in the field of commercial litigation, and in the 2014-15 edition of New York's "Super Lawyers." He is a frequent panelist on securities litigation programs sponsored by various organizations, including the Practising Law Institute (PLI) and the American Law Institute/American Bar Association (ALI/ABA). He is a member of the New York City Bar Association (former chair, Committee on Military Affairs and Justice), the Federal Bar Council and the American Bar Association. His recent publications include "Bringing a Claim for Securities Fraud: Pre-Filing Investigation to Complain" (PLI 2012) and "Bet-the-Company' Litigation: Settlement" (PLI 2011).

DARYL F. SCOTT graduated in 1981 from Vanderbilt University with a Bachelor of Arts in Economics. He received his Juris Doctorate from Creighton University School of Law in 1984, and a Masters of Taxation from Georgetown University Law Center in 1986. Mr. Scott is a partner involved in complex securities litigation at Scott+Scott. In addition to his work with the firm, Mr. Scott has specialized in private foundation and ERISA law. He was also formerly an executive officer of a private equity firm that held a majority interest in a number of significant corporations. Mr. Scott is admitted to the Supreme Court of Virginia and a member of the Virginia Bar Association and the Connecticut Bar Association.

DEIRDRE DEVANEY is a graduate of New York University (B.A., *cum laude*, 1990) and the University of Connecticut School of Law (J.D., with honors, 1998) where she was the managing editor of the Connecticut Journal of International Law. Ms. Devaney's experience includes commercial and probate litigation, as well as trusts and estates. Currently, Ms. Devaney's practice areas include commercial and securities litigation, including: *In re Priceline.com, Inc. Securities Litigation*, among others. Ms. Devaney is admitted to practice in Connecticut, New York, and the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut.

SYLVIA M. SOKOL is a New York- and London-based partner in the firm's Antitrust and Competition Law Practice. She focuses on representing national and international clients in litigation involving domestic and international cartels. Ms. Sokol has substantial experience in all aspects of complex litigation, including the day-to-day management of cases. She also has substantial experience in counseling corporate clients, evaluating potential claims, and developing strategies to recoup losses stemming from anticompetitive conduct.

Ms. Sokol currently represents a nationwide class in price-fixing litigation regarding the \$5.3 trillion-a-day foreign exchange market. She also represents a proposed nationwide class in an action involving ISDAfix, a financial benchmark that is tied to over \$379 trillion of interest-rate swaps around the world. In addition, Ms. Sokol represents several large multinational corporations alleging that Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, Glencore, and their warehouse affiliates conspired to restrict the supply of aluminum in London Metal Exchange-approved warehouses. And she represents several government entities in a national lawsuit alleging bid-rigging in the municipal derivatives market.

In addition, Ms. Sokol's civil litigation experience has involved defending corporate clients charged with unlawful business practices and monopolizations. She has also represented clients in criminal and extradition matters.

Ms. Sokol was named a "Super Lawyer" in 2011, 2012, 2014, and 2015.

She is a 1998 graduate of the New York University School of Law (*cum laude*), and completed her undergraduate studies at the University of British Columbia. After law school, Ms. Sokol was awarded the Soros Justice Fellowship to serve a year in the Capital Habeas Unit of the Federal Public Defender's Office, where she represented clients condemned to death and developed training materials for members of the capital defense bar. She then served as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Warren J. Ferguson, United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, before spending several years working at Morrison & Foerster LLP.

Ms. Sokol is a member of the American Bar Association and is admitted to practice in New York, California, and the District of Columbia. She is also admitted to the Southern District of New York, the Northern, Southern, and Eastern Districts of California, as well as the United States Supreme Court.

She is bilingual in English and French, and holds French and United States citizenships.

BELINDA HOLLWAY is the head of Scott+Scott's office in London. She has over a decade of competition law experience, and specialises in competition damages litigation before the English High Court, Competition Appeal Tribunal and the Court of Appeal, particularly on behalf of multinational corporations in follow-on damages claims. She has extensive expertise in developing and coordinating multijurisdictional litigation strategies, both within Europe and beyond. She also represents investors in shareholder litigation.

Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Ms. Hollway spent nine years in the London office of Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP. She represented clients across a wide range of industries, acting in many of the leading English competition damages cases, such as *Cooper Tire*, relating to the synthetic rubber cartel, and *National Grid v. ABB*, relating to the cartel in gas insulated switchgear. She was the lead associate on the defence team in *Enron v. EWS*, which was the first follow-on damages claim ever to reach trial in the Competition Appeal Tribunal. Her wide experience on the defence side gives her a special insight into the issues that claimants must address and overcome in order to recoup losses stemming from breaches of competition law in Europe.

Ms. Hollway has also acted for numerous clients in competition law investigations, both internal investigations and those brought by the UK Office of Fair Trading (now the Competition and Markets Authority) and the European Commission. She has been involved in immunity applications, Commission cartel settlements, and contested cases. From this work, she has an in-depth understanding of the interaction between private and public enforcement in Europe and the ramifications that public enforcement has for the strategy and progression of damages claims.

Ms. Hollway attended the Australian National University and graduated in 2001 with a First Class Honours degree in History and a First Class Honours Degree and University Medal in Law. She then spent a year as an Associate to Her Honour Justice Catherine Branson at the Federal Court of Australia and then worked for the competition and litigation teams of Allens Arthur Robinson in Sydney, prior to moving to the United Kingdom in 2006. She has a Master's Degree in Competition Law from King's College London.

She has published on competition law issues, including in relation to the EU Damages Directive and has been quoted in the press on competition law in Europe.

Ms. Hollway is admitted to practice in England and Wales and in New South Wales, Australia.

AMANDA F. LAWRENCE is a partner in the firm's Connecticut office. Ms. Lawrence is a graduate of Dartmouth College (B.A., *cum laude*, 1998) and Yale Law School (J.D., 2002). During law school, Ms. Lawrence worked for large firms in Washington, D.C., New York, and

Cleveland. After graduating from Yale, she worked in-house at a tax lien securitization company and for several years at a large Hartford-based law firm.

At Scott+Scott, Ms. Lawrence is actively engaged in the firm's complex securities, corporate governance, consumer, and antitrust litigation. She has worked on several cases that have resulted in substantial settlements including: *In re Aetna UCR Rates Litigation*, MDL No. 2020 (D.N.J.) (\$120 million settlement pending); *Rubenstein v. Oilsands Quest Inc.*, No. 11-1288 (S.D.N.Y.) (securities settlement of \$10.235 million); *Boilermakers National Annuity Trust Fund v. WaMu Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates*, No. 09-cv-00037 (W.D. Wash.) (\$26 million securities class action settlement); and *In re TETRA Technologies, Inc. Securities Litig.*, No. 4:07-cv-00965 (S.D. Tex.) (\$8.25 million securities class action settlement).

Ms. Lawrence has taught Trial Practice at the University of Connecticut School of Law and is very actively involved in her community, particularly in recreational organizations and events. A five-time NCAA National Champion cyclist who raced throughout the United States, Europe, Bermuda, and Pakistan, Ms. Lawrence is now an avid endurance athlete. She has competed in dozens of marathons, including the New York Marathon and the Boston Marathon, and in 11 full-distance ironman competitions – three of which were at the Ironman World Championships in Kona, Hawaii. She is licensed to practice in Connecticut and the Southern District of New York.

ERIN GREEN COMITE is a partner in the firm's Connecticut office. Ms. Comite is a graduate of Dartmouth College (B.A., *magna cum laude*, 1994) and the University of Washington School of Law (J.D., 2002). Ms. Comite litigates complex class actions throughout the United States, representing the rights of shareholders, employees, consumers, and other individuals harmed by corporate misrepresentation and malfeasance. Since joining Scott+Scott in 2002, she has litigated such cases as *In re Priceline.com Securities Litigation* (\$80 million settlement); *Schnall v. Annuity and Life Re (Holdings) Ltd.* (\$27 million settlement); and *In re Qwest Communications International, Inc.* (settlement obtaining \$25 million for the company and achieving corporate governance reforms aimed at ensuring board independence). Currently, she is one of the court-appointed lead counsel in *In re Monsanto Company Genetically-Engineered Wheat Litigation*, MDL No. 2473 (D. Kan.), and is prosecuting or has recently prosecuted actions against defendants such as Banco Popular, N.A.; Cargill, Inc.; The Estée Lauder Companies, Inc.; Ferrero USA, Inc.; L'Oreal USA, Inc.; Merisant Company; Merrill, Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.; NCO Financial Systems, Inc.; and Nestlé USA, Inc.

While Ms. Comite is experienced in all aspects of complex pre-trial litigation, she is particularly accomplished in achieving favorable results in discovery disputes. In *Hohider v. United Parcel Service, Inc.*, Ms. Comite spearheaded a nearly year-long investigation into every facet of UPS's preservation methods, requiring intensive, full-time efforts by a team of attorneys and paralegals well beyond that required in the normal course of pre-trial litigation. Ms. Comite assisted in devising the plan of investigation in weekly conference calls with the Special Master, coordinated the review of over 30,000 documents that uncovered a blatant trail of deception and prepared dozens of briefs to describe the spoliation and its ramifications on the case to the Special Master. In reaction to UPS's flagrant discovery abuses brought to light through the investigation, the Court conditioned the parties' settlement of the three individual ADA case on

UPS adopting and implementing preservation practices that passed the approval of the Special Master.

Ms. Comite also is active in the firm's appellate practice. Recent successes include achieving a Ninth Circuit reversal of a district court's dismissal of consumers' claims concerning Nestlé's Juicy Juice Brain Development Beverage, which the plaintiffs alleged was deceptively marketed as having the ability to improve young children's cognitive development with minute quantities of the Omega-3 fatty acid, DHA. *Chavez v. Nestle USA, Inc.*, 511 F. App'x 606 (9th Cir. 2013).

Prior to entering law school, Ms. Comite served in the White House as Assistant to the Special Counsel to President Clinton. In that capacity, she handled matters related to the White House's response to investigations, including four independent counsel investigations, a Justice Department task force investigation, two major oversight investigations by the House of Representatives and the Senate, and several other congressional oversight investigations.

Ms. Comite's volunteer activities have included assisting immigrant women, as survivors of domestic violence, with temporary residency applications as well as counseling sexual assault survivors. Currently, Ms. Comite supports Connecticut Children's Medical Center and March of Dimes/March for Babies.

Ms. Comite is licensed to practice in the State of Connecticut and is admitted to practice in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut and the Southern District of New York and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second, Third, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits.

KRISTEN M. ANDERSON is a partner in the firm's San Diego office. Ms. Anderson's practice focuses on complex and class action litigation with an emphasis on antitrust matters, including the following representative cases: *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1720 (E.D.N.Y) (\$7.25 billion recovery) and *In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$336 million recovery). Ms. Anderson is recognized as a Rising Star in the 2014-15 and 2015-16 editions of Super Lawyers.

A substantial portion of Ms. Anderson's practice is devoted to antitrust cases within the financial services industry. Ms. Anderson represented pension funds and individual investors in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), an antitrust action alleging collusion in the buyouts of large publicly traded companies by private equity firms. Ms. Anderson also represents plaintiff-investors in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.), challenging foreign-exchange market manipulation by many global financial institutions. Ms. Anderson served on the trial team representing certified classes of cardholders in antitrust cases challenging class action-banning arbitration clauses in credit card agreements as restraints of trade in *Ross v. Bank of America N.A.*, No. 05-cv-7116, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Ross v. American Express Co.*, No. 04-cv-5723, MDL No. 1409 (S.D.N.Y.).

Ms. Anderson is an active member of the American Bar Association's Antitrust Section. She currently serves as Vice Chair of the Antitrust Section's Books & Treatises Committee. She was also a contributing author to the Antitrust Section's *Antitrust Discovery Handbook* (2d ed.), *Joint*

Venture Handbook (2d ed.), and the *2010 Annual Review of Antitrust Law Developments*. In addition, Ms. Anderson served as an editor for *The Woman Advocate* (2d ed.), published by the American Bar Association's Woman Advocate Committee.

Ms. Anderson is also an active member of the State Bar of California's Antitrust and Unfair Competition Law Section, authoring case updates for the Antitrust E-Brief and serving as an articles editor for *Competition: Journal of the Antitrust and Unfair Competition Section of the State Bar of California*. Ms. Anderson was a co-author of an article appearing in the Fall 2014 edition of the Journal, entitled *The Misapplication of Associated General Contractors to Cartwright Act Claims*, 23 *Competition: J. Anti. & Unfair Comp. L. Sec. St. B. Cal.* 120 (2014).

Ms. Anderson is the Editor-in-Chief of MARKET+LITIGATION, Scott+Scott's monthly newsletter. She is also active in the firm's continuing legal education programs, speaking on e-discovery, evidence, and antitrust issues.

Ms. Anderson is a graduate of St. Louis University (B.A. Philosophy, *summa cum laude*, 2003) and the University of California, Hastings College of the Law (J.D. 2006). During law school, Ms. Anderson served as an extern at the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, in San Francisco. While at Hastings, Ms. Anderson also served as an extern to Justice Kathryn Mickle Werdegar of the Supreme Court of California and was the research assistant to Professor James R. McCall in the areas of antitrust and comparative antitrust law.

Ms. Anderson is admitted to practice by the Supreme Court of California and all California United States District Courts.

THOMAS LAUGHLIN is a partner in the firm's New York office. Mr. Laughlin is a graduate of Yale University (B.A. History, *cum laude*, 2001) and New York University School of Law (J.D., *cum laude*, 2005). After graduating from law school, Mr. Laughlin clerked for the Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez, United States District Court Judge for the Southern District of California.

Mr. Laughlin's practice focuses on securities class action, shareholder derivative, ERISA and other complex commercial litigation. While at Scott+Scott, Mr. Laughlin has worked on several cases that have achieved notable victories, including *Cornwell v. Credit Suisse*, No. 08-3758 (S.D.N.Y.) (securities settlement of \$70 million), *Rubenstein v. Oilsands Quest Inc.*, No. 11-1288 (S.D.N.Y.) (securities settlement of \$10.235 million) *Plymouth County Contributory Ret. Sys. v. Hassan*, No. 08-1022 (D.N.J.) (corporate governance reform); and *Garcia v. Carrion*, No. 09-1507 (D.P.R.) (corporate governance reform). Mr. Laughlin is a member of the New York bar and is admitted to practice in the Southern District of New York and the Eastern District of New York.

Mr. Laughlin also has significant appellate experience, having represented clients in connection with several appellate victories, including *Cottrell v. Duke*, 737 F.3d 1238 (8th Cir. 2013); *Westmoreland County Employee Retir. Sys. v. Parkinson*, 727 F.3d 719 (7th Cir. 2013); *Pfeil v. State Street Bank and Trust Co.*, 671 F.3d 585 (6th Cir. 2012); and *King v. VeriFone Holdings, Inc.*, 12 A.3d 1140 (Del. Supr. 2011).

In 2014, Mr. Laughlin was co-chair of a 13-day bench trial in *Bankers' Bank Northeast v. Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker, LLC*, No. 12-cv-00127 (D. Me.). Mr. Laughlin represented a consortium of 10 community banks asserting negligence and professional malpractice claims against the former officers and directors of a bank and its auditor in connection with an \$18 million loan made to that bank in September 2008. Among other things, Mr. Laughlin conducted the cross-examination of all three witnesses from the defendant's auditing firm and the direct examination of plaintiff's auditing expert. The parties to the action succeeded in resolving the action after trial.

MAX SCHWARTZ is a partner based in New York. His main practice area is complex civil litigation, with an emphasis on financial products and services. He also counsels investment firms and institutional investors on strategies to enhance returns, or recoup losses, through a variety of legal actions.

Following the financial crisis, Mr. Schwartz served as lead counsel in several cases that set important precedents regarding mortgage-backed securities. He argued the first cases to find that securitization trustees must seek to have defective mortgages repurchased from MBS trusts. These efforts recently led to the recovery of \$69 million for investors in Washington Mutual MBS and \$6 million for investors in Bear Stearns MBS. *Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago v. Bank of America, NA*, 1:12-cv-2865 (S.D.N.Y.); *Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System v. U.S. Bank National Association*, 1:11-cv-8066 (S.D.N.Y.).

Currently, Mr. Schwartz represents investment firms pursuing claims against MBS servicers. He also represents plaintiffs in a securities action against Nicholas Schorsch and RCS Capital Corp., among others. *Weston v. RCS Capital Corp.*, 1:14-cv-10136 (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Schwartz has substantial experience in competition and antitrust matters as well. He was part of the team that secured a \$590 million settlement stemming from allegations that several of the largest leveraged buyouts were subject to collusion. *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.). In addition, Mr. Schwartz has advised clients in antitrust matters ranging from pharmaceuticals to precious metals and has advised companies seeking merger review before a number of regulatory agencies.

Super Lawyers named Mr. Schwartz a Rising Star. The Legal Aid Society also recognized him with a Pro Bono Service Award for work before the New York Court of Appeals.

Mr. Schwartz holds a B.A. from Columbia University (*cum laude*), and a J.D. from New York University School of Law.

DAVID H. GOLDBERGER is an associate in Scott+Scott's San Diego office. Currently, Mr. Goldberger's practice is focused on antitrust litigation, initial case investigations, and other special projects.

Representative actions include *Kleen Products LLC v. Packaging Corporation of America*, No. 10-cv-5711 (N.D. Ill.), an action challenging price-fixing in the containerboard industry, and *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litig.*, No. 13-md-2420 (N.D. Cal.), an action challenging

price-fixing of Li-Ion batteries. Mr. Goldberger has also worked on antitrust cases involving delayed generic drug entry, such as *Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Ltd. Co.*, No. 12-cv-3824 (E.D. Pa.) (\$8 million settlement) and *In re Prograf Antitrust Litig.*, No. 1:11-md-02242 (D. Mass.).

Previously, Mr. Goldberger was active in Scott+Scott's securities fraud and ERISA practice, including *In re: Priceline.com Securities Litigation*, 03-cv-1884 (D. Conn.) (\$80 million settlement), *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Pharmacia Corporation*, No. 03-1519 (D.N.J.) (\$164 million settlement), and *In re: General Motors ERISA Litigation*, No. 05-71085 (E.D. Mich.) (resulting in significant enhancements to retirement plan administration in addition to \$37.5 million settlement for plan participants).

Mr. Goldberger was also a member of Scott+Scott's institutional investor relations staff, providing the Firm's many institutional clients with assistance in various matters pertaining to their involvement in complex civil litigations.

Mr. Goldberger is also a frequent contributing author to Market+Litigation, Scott+Scott's monthly client newsletter.

Mr. Goldberger graduated from the University of Colorado (B.A., 1999) and California Western School of Law (J.D., 2002). Mr. Goldberger is admitted to practice by the Supreme Court of the State of California and in all California United States District Courts.

A San Diego native, Mr. Goldberger was a founding member of the Torrey Pines High School "Friends of the Library" and coaches youth sports in his spare time.

THOMAS K. BOARDMAN is an associate in the Scott+Scott's New York office, focusing on antitrust litigation. At his prior firm, Mr. Boardman was a member of the trial team in *In re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*. For his work on that case, Mr. Boardman was nominated by Consumer Attorneys of California as a finalist for Consumer Attorney of the Year. Mr. Boardman was also an instrumental part of the lead counsel team in *In re Potash Antitrust Litigation (II)*, a case that featured a unanimous victory before an *en banc* panel of the Seventh Circuit, resulting in one of the most influential antitrust appellate opinions in recent memory. The case ended in \$90 million in settlements.

At Scott+Scott, Mr. Boardman represents plaintiff-investors in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* and represents opt-out plaintiffs in *Mag Instrument Inc v. The Goldman Sachs Group Inc.* Mr. Boardman also represents indirect purchaser plaintiffs in *In re Lithium Ion Batteries Antitrust Litigation*.

Mr. Boardman received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Vassar College in 2004, majoring in Political Science and Film Studies. He received his Juris Doctorate from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law in 2009. While at Hastings, Mr. Boardman was a member of the Hastings Science and Technology Law Journal and worked as a research assistant to professors Geoffrey C. Hazard, Jr. and Rory K. Little. Mr. Boardman is a member of the following Bars: California, New York, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Central District of California, Northern District of California, and Southern District of California. He is also a

member of the following professional associations: ABA Antitrust Section – Model Jury Instruction Revision Task Force, ABA Antitrust Section – Young Lawyers Division – Litigation Committee, ABA Antitrust Section – Young Lawyers Division – Civil Practice and Procedure Committee, New York State Bar Association – Antitrust Section, Bar Association of San Francisco, and Public Justice Foundation.

Mr. Boardman has co-authored the following articles: “Reverse Engineering Your Antitrust Case: Plan for Trial Even Before You File Your Case,” *Antitrust Magazine*, Spring 2014, Vol. 28, No. 2, with Bruce L. Simon; and “Class Action for Health Professionals,” chapter from *Advocacy Strategies for Health and Mental Health Professionals*, Springer Publishing Co., 2011, with Bruce L. Simon, Stuart L. Lustig, Editor.

Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Boardman worked at Pearson, Simon & Warshaw, LLP in San Francisco and served as a judicial law clerk to the Hon. Christina Reiss in United States District Court, District of Vermont.

Mr. Boardman enjoys running and regularly does so for charity. He has run several races to fundraise for various causes, including the New York City Marathon (National Multiple Sclerosis Foundation) and the Boston Marathon (Cystic Fibrosis Foundation).

STEPHEN TETI's practice focuses on securities class action litigation, shareholder derivative lawsuits and corporate governance, consumer, and ERISA litigation. While at Scott+Scott, Mr. Teti has worked on several cases that have achieved notable results, including *Rubenstein v. Oilsands Quest Inc.*, No. 11-cv-288 (S.D.N.Y.) (securities settlement of \$10.235 million) and *Plymouth County Contributory Ret. Sys. v. Hassan*, No. 08-cv-1022 (D.N.J.) (corporate governance reform). Mr. Teti also practices in Scott+Scott's appellate group, achieving victories in *Cottrell v. Duke*, 737 F.3d 1238 (8th Cir. 2013), *Westmoreland County Employee Retirement System v. Parkinson*, 737 F.3d 719 (7th Cir. 2013), and *Chavez v. Nestlé USA, Inc.*, 511 Fed. Appx. 606 (9th Cir. 2013).

Mr. Teti obtained a significant decision for consumers in *Friedman v. Maspeth Fed. Loan & Savings Ass'n*, ___ F. Supp. 2d ___, 2014 WL 3473407 (E.D.N.Y. July 14, 2014). In a case before the Honorable Jack B. Weinstein raising “issues of first impression on the reach of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act,” Mr. Teti defeated the motion to dismiss in the case which involved wrongful imposition of late charges on timely received mortgage payments. Mr. Teti has also achieved several favorable decisions regarding the improper removal of class actions under the Securities Act of 1933, including *Niitsoo v. Alpha Natural Resources, Inc.*, 902 F. Supp. 2d 797 (S.D. W. Va. 2012), and *Rajasekaran v. CytRx Corp.*, 2014 WL 4330787 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2014).

Mr. Teti graduated from Fairfield University (B.A., *cum laude*, 2007) and the Quinnipiac University School of Law (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 2010). He is a member of the Connecticut Bar. During law school, Mr. Teti served as Publications Editor on the *Quinnipiac Law Review*. Further, he worked as an intern in the State of Connecticut Office of the Attorney General, a judicial extern to the Honorable Stefan R. Underhill in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, and a legislative extern to the Judiciary Committee of the Connecticut

General Assembly. Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Teti clerked for the judges of the Connecticut Superior Court.

Mr. Teti is a regular contributor to and editor of Scott+Scott's monthly newsletter, MARKET+LITIGATION, and he volunteers on his local Youth Services Advisory Board.

JOHN JASNOCH's practice areas include securities and antitrust class actions, shareholder derivative actions, and other complex litigation. Mr. Jasnoch represented plaintiffs in *In re Washington Mutual Mortgage-Backed Securities Litigation*, Case No. 2:09-cv-00037 (W.D. Washington), a case that was litigated through summary judgment and settled on the eve of trial for \$26 million. Mr. Jasnoch was also one of the lead attorneys that secured a \$7.68 million settlement in *In re Pacific Biosciences Securities Litigation*, Case No. CIV509210 (San Mateo County, California). Other cases Mr. Jasnoch has worked on that have achieved notable results include: *West Palm Beach Police Pension Fund v. Cardionet, Inc.*, Case No. 37-2010-00086836-CU-SL-CTL (San Diego County, California) (\$7.25 million settlement), *Hodges v. Akeena Solar*, 09-cv-2147 (N.D. Cal.) (\$4.77 million settlement), *Plymouth County Contributory Ret. Sys. v. Hassan*, No. 08-1022 (D.N.J.) (corporate governance reform), and *In re HQ Sustainable Maritime Industries, Inc., Derivative Litigation*, Case No. 11-2-16742-9 (King County, Washington) (\$2.75 million settlement).

Mr. Jasnoch is also involved in the firm's healthcare practice group, currently representing institutional investors in *In re DaVita Healthcare Partners, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, Case No. 12-cv-2074 (D. Co.) and *City of Omaha Police and Fire Pension Fund v. LHC Group*, Case No. 12-cv-1609 (W.D. La.).

As an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of California, Mr. Jasnoch has prepared and submitted successful *amicus curie* briefs to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, including on California's Anti-SLAPP law and consumer protection issues.

Mr. Jasnoch graduated *cum laude* from Creighton University with a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science in 2007. He received his Juris Doctorate from The University of Nebraska College of Law in 2011 and is a member of the California Bar.

MICHAEL G. BURNETT is a graduate of Creighton University (B.A., 1981) and Creighton University School of Law (J.D., 1984). Mr. Burnett practices complex securities litigation at the firm where he consults with the firm's institutional clients on corporate fraud in the securities markets as well as corporate governance issues. In addition to his work with the firm, Mr. Burnett has specialized in intellectual property and related law. Mr. Burnett is admitted to the Nebraska Supreme Court and United States District Court, District of Nebraska. He is a member of the Nebraska Bar Association.

ANDREA FARAH's practice focuses on securities, shareholder derivative actions, consumer rights, and other complex litigation. Ms. Farah graduated *summa cum laude* from the University of North Florida with a Bachelor of Arts in Psychology in 2009. She received her Juris Doctorate, *cum laude*, in 2013 and a Master in Business Administration in 2013 from Quinnipiac University School of Law. During law school, Ms. Farah worked as an intern in the Connecticut

State's Attorneys Office for the Judicial District of New Haven, Connecticut. Ms. Farah is admitted to practice in New York.

STEPHANIE HACKETT is an associate in Scott+Scott's San Diego office. She primarily practices in the area of antitrust litigation on behalf of classes and individual plaintiffs.

Ms. Hackett has represented class plaintiffs in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) (\$590.5 million settlement) and *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Ltd. Co.*, No. 12-3824 (E.D. Pa.) (\$8 million settlement). She represented corporate opt-out clients in *In re Polychloroprene Rubber (CR) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1642 (D. Conn.); and *In re Plastics Additives (No. II) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1684 (E.D. Pa.).

Ms. Hackett's current cases include representing class plaintiffs in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.), an action challenging collusion regarding foreign exchange rates, and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation*, No. 1:14-cv-07126 (S.D.N.Y.), an action challenging collusion regarding the setting of the ISDAfix benchmark interest rate. Ms. Hackett also represents corporate opt-out clients in *In re: Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2481 (S.D.N.Y.), a case challenging collusion regarding the spot metal price of physically-delivered aluminum.

As a part of her *pro bono* work, Ms. Hackett has worked with the San Diego Volunteer Lawyer Program, providing assistance to immigrant victims of domestic violence, and the ABA Immigration Justice Project, where she obtained a grant of asylum on behalf of her client.

Ms. Hackett is an active member of the American Bar Association's Antitrust Section and the San Diego La Raza Lawyers Association. She is also a contributing author to Market+Litigation, Scott+Scott's monthly newsletter.

Ms. Hackett is a graduate of the University of Iowa (B.S. Political Science, International Business Certificate, 2001) and of the University of Iowa College of Law (J.D., with distinction, 2005), where she was a recipient of the Willard L. Boyd Public Service Distinction award. While obtaining her law degree, Ms. Hackett worked as a judicial extern for the Honorable Celeste F. Bremer, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa. Ms. Hackett is admitted to practice in California.

In addition to her legal education, Ms. Hackett has engaged in accounting study and passed all four parts of the CPA exam. This background has proved particularly useful in cases involving the financial services industry.

JENNIFER J. SCOTT is an associate in Scott+Scott's San Diego office. Her practice focuses on prosecuting antitrust actions.

Ms. Scott represents pension funds and individual investors in *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.), an antitrust action alleging collusion in the buyouts of large publicly traded companies by private equity firms. The defendants in *Dahl* settled for \$590.5 million, pending final approval. Ms. Scott also represents class plaintiffs in *Kleen*

Products LLC v. International Paper, No. 1:10-cv-5711 (N.D. Ill.), an action challenging price fixing in the containerboard products industry.

Currently, Ms. Scott represents plaintiffs in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y.), challenging foreign-exchange market manipulation by many global financial institutions. Ms. Scott also represents corporate opt-out clients in *In re: Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2481 (S.D.N.Y.), a case challenging collusion regarding the spot metal price of physical-delivered aluminum.

She represented the indirect purchaser class in *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Company*, No. 2:12-cv-03824 (E.D. Pa.), a case challenging monopolistic conduct known as “product hopping” by the defendants. In *Mylan*, Ms. Scott drafted dispositive motions, prepared lead counsel to depose experts and key managing directors, and prepared for trial. The case settled for \$8 million.

Ms. Scott is an active member of the American Bar Association’s Antitrust Section. She is also a frequent contributing author to *Market+Litigation*, Scott+Scott’s monthly newsletter.

Ms. Scott graduated *cum laude* from San Diego State University with a Bachelor of Arts in Psychology in 2007 and from the University of San Diego School of Law in 2011. At USD School of Law, she was a contributing writer to the *California Regulatory Law Reporter*, a judicial intern at the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and in-house intern at the Department of the Navy, Office of General Counsel. Ms. Scott is a member of the California Bar and admitted to practice in all state and federal courts in California.

Ms. Scott serves on the board of a San Diego nonprofit literacy organization focusing on early juvenile intervention and rehabilitation.

JOSEPH V. HALLORAN is an associate in Scott+Scott’s New York office. Mr. Halloran practices in the areas of securities class action litigation, shareholder derivative actions, antitrust, and other complex litigation.

While at Scott+Scott, Mr. Halloran has primarily focused on securities and derivative cases, including *In Re FireEye, Inc. Securities Litigation*, No. 1-14-CV-266866 (Cal. Super. Ct., Santa Clara County); *Thomas Welch v. Pacific Coast Oil Trust*, No. BC550418 (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles County); *IBEW Local No. 58 Annuity Fund v. Everywhere Global, Inc.*, 14-cv-01838 (S.D. Ohio); *City of Irving Supplemental Benefit Plan v. Chopra*, 14-cv-09869 (C.D. Cal.); *In re IPC The Hospitalist Company, Inc. Consolidated Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 10258-CB (Del. Ch.); and *In re Duke Energy Corporation Coal Ash Derivative Litigation*, C.A. No. 9682-VCN (Del. Ch.).

Mr. Halloran has also been active in the firm’s antitrust practice including *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:13-cv-07789 (S.D.N.Y.) and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation*, No. 1:14-cv-07126 (S.D.N.Y.).

Mr. Halloran graduated from Boston University (B.B.A., *magna cum laude*, 2008) and the University of San Diego School of Law (J.D., 2012). During law school, Mr. Halloran worked at the California Department of Corporations and was a senior associate for USD's Climate & Energy Law Journal.

Mr. Halloran is a member of the following professional associations: ABA Antitrust Section, ABA Young Lawyers Division, and the State Bar of California's Antitrust and Unfair Competition Law Section. Mr. Halloran is also a regular contributor to Scott+Scott's monthly newsletter. He is admitted to practice in California.

YIFAN ("KATE") LV is an associate in Scott+Scott's San Diego office. Her practice focuses on prosecuting antitrust actions with an emphasis on intercultural cartels.

Ms. Lv represents plaintiffs in *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-cv-7789 (S.D.N.Y), challenging foreign-exchange market manipulation by many global financial institutions. Ms. Lv also represents and advises the Firm's Asian clients.

Ms. Lv graduated from Tianjin University of Commerce, Tianjin, China, with a Dual Bachelors in Law and Economics in 2008, from Peoples University of China, Beijing, China with a Master in Law in June 2010, and from William & Mary School of Law in 2014.

Ms. Lv is bilingual, speaking fluent Chinese and English.

Ms. Lv is a member of the California, New York, and China Bars.

MATTEO LEGGETT is an associate in the Connecticut office. He is a graduate of Whitman College and the University of Connecticut School of Law.

Before joining the firm, Matteo began his career as a law clerk to the Honorable Alexandra D. DiPentima of the Connecticut Appellate Court. While in law school, he helped represent a refugee in the school's Asylum and Human Rights Clinic and worked as an intern at the Hartford Immigration Court.

Matteo speaks Spanish and Italian and is licensed to practice in Connecticut.

HAL CUNNINGHAM is a graduate of Murray State (B.S. Biological Chemistry) and the University of San Diego School of Law. Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Cunningham was engaged in research and development in the chemical and pharmaceutical industries.

Mr. Cunningham's practice focuses on securities class action, shareholder derivative, and consumer litigation. While at Scott+Scott, Mr. Cunningham has worked on several cases that have achieved notable results, including *In re Washington Mutual Mortgage Backed Securities Litigation*, No. C09-0037 (W.D. Wash.) (securities settlement of \$26 million). Mr. Cunningham is also involved in the Firm's securities lead plaintiff motion practice, having briefed several successful lead plaintiff applications for the firm's institutional and individual clients.

Mr. Cunningham is a regular contributor to and editor of Scott+Scott's monthly newsletter, MARKET+LITIGATION.

Mr. Cunningham is admitted to practice in California.

GARY D. FOSTER's main practice areas include antitrust, securities, and complex litigation, which includes such cases as *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 1:07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.) and *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Ltd. Co.*, No. 2:12-cv-03824 (E.D. Pa.). Mr. Foster is a member of the West Virginia State Bar.

Mr. Foster is a graduate of West Virginia Wesleyan College (B.S., Biology, *cum laude*, 1999) and of the West Virginia University College of Law (J.D., 2002), where he earned a position on the Moot Court Board and Lugar Trial Association. During law school, Mr. Foster served as a law clerk for the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals, after which he assumed a full-time term position as a law clerk for the Hon. Thomas C. Evans, III, of the Fifth Circuit Court of West Virginia.

JOSEPH A. PETTIGREW's practice areas include securities, antitrust, shareholder derivative litigation, and other complex litigation, including work on the following cases: *Dahl v. Bain Capital Partners, LLC*, No. 07-cv-12388 (D. Mass.); *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee and Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1720 (E.D.N.Y); and *Marvin H. Maurras Revocable Trust v. Bronfman*, 12-cv-3395 (N.D. Ill.).

Mr. Pettigrew graduated from Carleton College (B.A., Art History, *cum laude*, 1998) and from the University of San Diego School of Law (J.D., 2004). Mr. Pettigrew has served on the board and as legal counsel to several nonprofit arts organizations.

Mr. Pettigrew is admitted to practice in California.

TROY TERPENING's practice centers on securities class action litigation, shareholder derivative lawsuits, corporate governance, and consumer litigation. In addition, Mr. Terpening is actively engaged in a number of healthcare cases, including *In re Aetna UCR Rates Litigation*, MDL No. 2020 (D.N.J.) (\$120 million settlement pending) and *In re WellPoint, Inc. Out-of-Network "UCR" Rates Litigation*, MDL No. 2074 (C.D. Cal.). Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Terpening worked in-house for both venture capital and large financial institutions. He is a member of the California Bar.

Mr. Terpening is a graduate of San Diego State University (B.A., 1998) and California Western School of Law (J.D., 2001). While in law school, Mr. Terpening served as President of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America (ATLA) Student Chapter and was selected for two consecutive years to represent his school on the Advocacy Honor's Board negotiation team in American Bar Association national negotiation competitions.

Mr. Terpening has taught Legal Research and Writing at the University of San Diego and Business Law at San Diego Mesa College. He frequently speaks at seminars throughout California, Washington, and Nevada concerning real estate transactions, finance, and taxation.

Mr. Terpening is actively involved in his community and currently serves on the Board of the Clairemont Town Council. He also regularly volunteers with the Legal Aid Society where he trains students in mediation techniques so they can help resolve disputes within their respective schools.

EDWARD SIGNAIGO's main areas of practice are antitrust, consumer, and securities litigation. Representative matters include *Kleen Products LLC v. Packaging Corp. of America*, Civil Action No. 1:10-cv-5711 (N.D. Ill.), *In re Domestic Drywall Antitrust Litigation*, No. 13-MDL-2437 (E.D. Pa.), *Mylan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Limited Co.*, Civil Action No. 12-3824 (E.D. Pa.), *In re WellPoint UCR Out-of-Network "UCR" Rates Litigation*, MDL No. 2074 (C.D. Cal.), and *City of Austin Police Retirement System v. Kinross Gold Corp.*, No. 12-cv-1203 (S.D.N.Y.). Prior to joining Scott+Scott, Mr. Signaigo practiced at one of San Diego's premier personal injury firms.

Mr. Signaigo graduated from the University of San Diego (B.A., *magna cum laude*, 2006) and Santa Clara University School of Law (J.D., 2009). During law school, Mr. Signaigo was an editor on the Santa Clara University School of Law Computer & High Tech Law Journal and studied abroad at the University of Oxford and the International Crime Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia. He is a member of the California Bar.